PII: S0040-4039(96)01600-0

Unusual Cope Rearrangement of Tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]decadienone 2-carboxylic Ester on Acetalisation with Ethylene Glycol, PTSA:

Subhash P. Chavan*, Krishna S. Ethiraj and Subhash K. Kamat

Division of Organic Chemistry: Technology, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune - 411008, INDIA.

Abstract: Protection of 2-exo-carbomethoxytricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2.6}] deca-3,8-dien-5-one with ethylene glycol, PTSA afforded the unexpected Cope rearranged product i.e. 1-carbomethoxy endo-dicyclopenta-1,4-diene-8-one 8-ethylene acetal, instead of protected acetal 2. Copyright © 1996 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd

Tricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}] decadienones¹ are useful synthons for a variety of functionalised cyclopentenones, tricyclic systems are for the synthesis of cyclopentanoid natural products. During our synthetic strategy towards the synthesis of tricyclic systems using reductive cyclisation developed by us^{2a} and others^{2b}, it was planned to synthesise compound 2 from the decadienone ester 1.

Compound 1 was prepared by the procedure as reported in literature³. Following the reported procedure⁴ protection of ketone carbonyl in decadienone ester 1 was carried out with ethylene glycol, PTSA in refluxing toluene for 10 hrs. It was surprising to note that the expected acetal 2 was not obtained, instead an unexpected isomeric product was obtained in 80% yield. Although the structure 3 was not totally unexpected based on the Cope rearrangement⁵ of such systems, formation of isomeric compound 4 could be ruled out by spectral analysis⁶ as well as chemical reactions. Attempts to deprotect the acetal under normal conditions proved to be difficult.

Even protection of compound 1 with ethylene glycol and PTSA in the presence of benzoquinone gave only the rearranged product 3. No cross product nor dicyclopentadiene was obtained, hence ruling out the formation of compound 4. Similarly protection of decadienone ester 1 in refluxing benzene for 10 hrs gave the same rearranged product 3 in 75% yield. Although the authors⁴ report the protection of 1 under "careful ketalisation" conditions, it was observed that we could not under our conditions get 2.

Reaction of compound 1 with BF_3Et_2O in CH_2Cl_2 or PTSA in benzene at room temperature led to the recovery of starting material. However on heating 1 with PTSA in benzene, intractable mixture was obtained which could not be characterised. In addition protection with 1,2-ethanedithiol and 2-mercaptoethanol with BF_3 Et_2O afforded complex mixture of compounds, which could not be separated by chromatography. Protection with PPTS as catalyst also gave the rearranged product 3 along with unreacted starting material. Finally the protected acetal was hydrolysed to the corresponding acid (85%) and the structure was confirmed to be 5 by single crystal X-ray analysis. From the above study it is likely that first, the acetalisation of 1 occurs to furnish 2 followed by Cope rearrangement under the conditions to furnish 3. In view of the recent publication describing the utility of such systems for the synthesis of funtionalised hydrindanes^{7a,b}, we feel this observation would be of synthetic utility to synthetic organic chemists.

In conclusion, the protection of 2-exo-carbomethoxytricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2.6}]deca-3,8-diene-5-one 1 gave the Cope rearranged product, 1-carbomethoxy endo-dicyclopenta-1,4-diene-8-one 8-ethylene acetal.

Procedure: 250mg (1.225 mmol) of compound 1, 1.5 eq of ethylene glycol and 50 mg PTSA in 50 ml dry benzene / toluene refluxed for 10 hrs employing Dean-Stark apparatus. Reaction was monitored by TLC. Usual workup and chromatography (SiO₂) gave compound 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: One of us (K.S.Ethiraj) thanks CSIR for fellowship. We also thank Dr.T.Ravindranathan, Head, OCT. National Chemical Laboratory, Pune-8, for encouragement. We are also thankful to Dr. Vedavathi G. Puranik for single crystal X-ray analysis.

REFERENCES AND NOTES:

- 1. (a) Lange, J.H.M.; Klunder, A.J.H.; Zwanenberg, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 2365-2368. (b) Zhu, J.; Klunder, A.J.H.; Zwanenberg, B.; *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, 35, 2787-2790 and references therein.
- (a) Chavan, S. P.; Ethiraj, K.S.; Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2281-2284.
 (b) Enholm, E. J.; Kinter, K.S.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 7784-7785.
- 3. Herz, W.; Iyer, V. S.; Nair, M. G.; J. Org. Chem., 1975, 40, 3519.
- 4. Unnikrishnan, P.A.; Vatakencherry, P. A.; Synthetic Commun., 1992, 22, 3159-3168.
- 5. (a) Woodward, R. B.; Katz, T. J.; Tetrahedron., 1959,5,70-89. (b) Mayo, P. De. Molecular Rearrangements part -I, 68. (c) Suri, S. C.; Rodgers, S. L.; Lauderdale, W. J.; Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 4031-4034.
- 6. ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ: 1.65-1.85 (m, 1H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.8-3.2 (m, 3H), 3.5- 3.6 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.8-4.0 (m, 4H), 5.4- 5.6 (m, 2H), 6.95 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR ppm: 34.08 (t), 37.8 (d), 50.9 (d), 51.29 (d), 51.35 (d), 51.76 (d), 64.29 (t), 64.95 (t), 126.75 (s), 129.29 (d), 134.14 (d), 135.61 (s), 144.9 (d), 164.86 (s). IR cm⁻¹ (neat): 1697, 1594.
- 7. (a) Mehta, G.; Praveen, M.; J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1993, 1573. (b) Suri, S. C.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2335-2336.

(Received in UK 2 July 1996; revised 12 August 1996; accepted 15 August 1996)